# Reducing the risk of cardiovascular disease in older women

Davey M, MBChB, FCOG (SA)

Gynaecologist in private practice at Westville Hospital, KwazuluNatal Vice-President of the South African Menopause Society

Correspondence to: Dr M Davey, E-mail: mrdavey@iafrica.com

# **Abstract**

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of death in women older than 50 years. Risk factors for CVD differ in some aspects from those in men. The prevention of CVD in women has undergone a reappraisal with the publication of studies looking at the use of menopausal hormone therapy for both primary and secondary prevention. Although these studies concluded that there was no place for the use of hormone therapy for prevention of CVD, recent data suggests that the issue is still not resolved as regards the younger woman in early menopause. Until more data is available in this regard, the main focus of prevention should be on interventions to decrease risk factors for cardiovascular disease.

SA Fam Pract 2007;49(5): 32-35

#### Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) includes hypertension, coronary heart disease (CHD), atherosclerosis and stroke. It is the leading cause of death in women who are more than 50 years of age in Western countries.1 CVD accounts for 53% of female deaths. Using the figures supplied by the American Heart Association, in the year 2003, 484,000 women died of CVD the USA.2 This is approximately 57,000 more than the number of men who died of the same condition. Whereas death rates in men from CVD have shown a progressive decrease since 1980, death rates in women from this condition have remained constant. In spite of this, both patients and health care providers continue to underestimate the extent of this problem in women, where the focus is more on breast cancer, and health care providers still considering this predominantly a disease in men.

Prior to menopause, the incidence of CVD in women is lower than seen in men.<sup>2</sup> Incidence becomes similar in men and women between 45 and 55 years of age. Thereafter more women have CVD than do men.

## Risk factors of CVD in women 1. Menopause

The onset of menopause at any age earlier than 55 years results in an increase in the incidence of CVD. As an example, in the Framingham study, in a sample of 2873 women, in all age groups the

incidence of CHD was lower in premenopausal than in postmenopausal women.<sup>3</sup> This was especially marked in the 40 - 44 year group but even in the 45 - 49 and 50 - 54 year groups the incidence in postmenopausal women was double that seen in premenopausal women.

#### 2. Dyslipidaemia

The onset of menopause results in an unfavourable shift in lipid levels. Total cholesterol, low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL), and triglycerides (TG) increase while a decrease is seen in high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) and HDL<sub>2</sub> cholesterol. This effect is independent of age, body mass index (BMI) and other confounding variables.<sup>4</sup>

Women, however, differ from men as to the significance of these abnormal lipoproteins. In both men and women, CHD is increased with increasing LDL and total cholesterol levels. Hypertriglyceridaemia is, however, a more potent independent risk factor for CHD in women compared to men.<sup>5</sup> A meta-analysis of 17 studies (n = 46,413 men and 10,864 women) revealed that the CHD relative risk (RR) for hypertriglyceridaemia was elevated by 32% in men and 76% in women.<sup>6</sup> Low HDL is more predictive of coronary heart disease risk in older women than it is in men.<sup>7</sup>

#### 3. Hypertension

Hypertension is an important risk factor for CVD in men and women. With

Wong et al, based on an analysis of the NHANES data, calculated showed that control of hypertension to high normal levels would prevent approximately 20% of CHD events in men and 33% of CHD events in women.8 If there was control to optimum levels, this would increase to 37% and 56% respectively. If hypertension, LDL cholesterol and HDL cholesterol were controlled to optimum levels. the same investigators showed that 82.1% of CHD events in women could be prevented.9 A meta-analysis of data for 1 million men and women showed that for every 20 mm Hg difference in systolic blood pressure there is a 2 X difference in death rates due to stroke.10 This is true in men and women.

### 4. Diabetes

It has been reported that diabetic women have a significantly higher cardiovascular mortality than diabetic men. 11 The relative risk of a fatal ischaemic heart event was 3.3 in diabetic vs non diabetic women and 1.8 in diabetic vs non-diabetic men. A similar difference has been reported by Hu *et al* who reported the relative risk for cardiovascular mortality in women with type II diabetes to be 4.4 as opposed to 2.2 in men. 12

#### 5. Obesity

Numerous population studies have shown an increased risk for CVD in overweight or obese men and women. In a Dutch prospective cohort study, it was estimated that 28% of CHD mortality could be attributed to being overweight (BMI > or  $=25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ). In a 20 year follow-up of 15,402 obese men and women in Scotland, it was estimated that obesity in women was associated with 7 extra cardiovascular deaths and 28 extra cardiovascular admissions per 100 affected individuals. In

# 6. The Metabolic Syndrome

The metabolic syndrome is a clustering of risk conditions including dyslipidaemia (elevated TG, decreased HDL cholesterol), hypertension and abdominal obesity. Diagnosis in women requires the presence of 3 or more of the following:

- 1. Waist circumference > 88 cms)
- Fasting TG ≥ 1.7 mmol/l (150 mg/dl)
- 3. HDL cholesterol < 1.1 mmol/l (50 mg/dl)
- Hypertension (systolic blood pressure ≥ 130 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure ≥ 85 mmHg, or use of antihypertensive drug therapy)
- 5. A fasting glucose measurement >or = 5.6mmol/l (100mg/dl).<sup>15</sup> Postmenopausal status is associated with a 60% increased risk of metabolic syndrome, even after adjusting for variables such as age, BMI or physical inactivity.<sup>16</sup> The metabolic syndrome appears to carry an especially high risk of CVD in women and it has been estimated that half of all cardiovascular events in women are related to this syndrome<sup>17</sup>

## 6. Smoking

For women smokers, CHD mortality risk from cigarettes is equivalent to the risk associated with weighing 42 kg more than her non smoking counterpart. 18

# The role of estrogen in the prevention of cardiovascular disease

The increase in the incidence of cardio-vascular disease with onset of meno-pause at any age suggests a protective effect of estrogen on the vasculature. This is biologically plausible given the effect of estrogen on many surrogate markers of CVD. Oral estrogen, given alone or in combination with a progestin, improves lipoprotein profiles and lowers fibrinogen levels. Both oral and transdermal estrogen improve glucose metabolism, have a beneficial effect on the vascular endothelium and reduce homocysteine levels. Other beneficial

effects include a reduction of lipoprotein (a) levels.<sup>20</sup> The addition of a progestin partly attenuates the beneficial effect on lipoproteins. This effect varies according to the dose and androgenicity of the progestin. However, not all of the effects of estrogen are beneficial. Oral estrogen increases triglyceride levels. Oral estrogen also increases levels of C-reactive protein, an inflammatory marker that may independently increase the risk of heart disease. 21 This increase is not apparent with transdermal hormone therapy. The production of matrix metalloproteinases are increased by oral estrogen.<sup>22</sup> These are degradative enzymes that are important in destabilisation and rupture of plaque.

Observational studies have suggested that menopausal hormone therapy may prevent CHD. The most compelling is the Nurses Health Study (NHS), a 20 year prospective observational study. Among 70,533 participants with no history of cardiovascular disease, current use of HT, as compared with it never having been used was associated with RR of 0.61 (95% CI, 0.52 - 0.71) for a major cardiac event.23 In the Rancho Bernado study, in a cohort of 204 postmenopausal women, current estrogen users had a 60% decreased risk of severe coronary artery disease (RR 0.40 [95% CI 0.19 - 0.82]).24

The suggested benefit of estrogen therapy on cardiovascular mortality has, however, not been confirmed by prospective randomised controlled trials. The Heart and Estrogen/Progestin Replacement Study (HERS), a secondary prevention study, compared the effect of conjugated equine estrogen (CEE) combined with medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA), with placebo. It showed no reduction in the incidence of any cardiovascular outcomes over 4.1 years in those patients using CEE and MPA.<sup>25</sup> In the first year of this study, there was a 50% higher risk of non-fatal myocardial infarction in the group receiving HT. There was a decreased risk in years 3 to 5. This lower rate did not, however, persist during a 2.7 year follow-up of the HERS patients leading to the conclusion that postmenopausal hormone therapy should not be used to reduce the risk of CHD events in women with CHD.<sup>26</sup> This is consistent with other studies on secondary prevention and has been shown with both CEE and 17ß estradiol.27, 28 There was also no protective effect in a secondary prevention study using transdermal estrogen.<sup>29</sup>

The Women's Heath Initiative (WHI) study was designed to address the issue of primary prevention. There were two parallel arms in a study on more than 26,000 women.30,31 The CEE plus progestin part of the study was stopped after 5.2 years because of risks outweighing benefits. At that stage there was an overall 24% increase in the incidence of CHD (nominal 95% CI 1.00 - 1.54).32 As in the HERS study, this was most apparent at 1 year (RR 1.81 [95% Cl 1.09 - 3.01]) with a trend toward a decreasing risk thereafter. The estrogen only arm of the WHI study also ended early (6.8 years) and again did not show any decrease in cardiovascular risk (RR 0.95 [95% CI 0.79 - 1.16]). Unlike the combined arm, there was no increase in risk in year 1 (RR 1.11 [95% CI 0.64 - 1.94]) and no significant trend with time.

Stroke risk with hormone use was increased and similar in both arms of the WHI study. The RR for stroke in the estrogen plus progestin arm was 1.31 (95% CI 1.02 - 1.68) and in the estrogen only arm 1.37 (95% CI 1.09 - 1.73).

The difference between the results of observational studies and the randomised controlled studies has attracted much comment. Manson provides an insightful overview of these issues.33 Observational studies may be biased due to a "healthy user effect", i.e. women who choose to take HT tend to be healthier and tend to adopt other health promoting interventions. If this was the situation for the WHI studies it is therefore strange that, with other endpoints of this study such as venous thrombosis, stroke and breast cancer, the results were in accordance with the observational studies. Observational studies can also be compromised because, due to infrequent capture of adverse events, early clinical events may be misinterpreted as occurring in a non-user or in a non-using interval. This could be especially important in studies on CHD outcomes where, as shown in WHI and HERS, most events occur early in the study. An extremely important difference is, however, the difference in the clinical characteristics of the study populations. In observational studies such as the NHS study, the majority of the participants started hormone therapy (HT) early in the menopause. This is not the case in WHI and HERS studies where the mean baseline ages were 63 and 67 years respectively. At this older age, the majority of women

33

would be expected to have a degree of atherosclerosis. This obvious difference has lead to a "window of opportunity" hypothesis where HT may be of benefit in the younger patient with healthy vasculature, whereas, in the older patient, initiating HT for the first time, where vascular damage has already occurred, HT would be detrimental. The results as regards the incidence of CVD in the estrogen only arm of the WHI lends support to this hypothesis.34 When looking at the primary outcome of myocardial infarction (MI) and coronary death, the risk for developing one of these events with use of estrogen therapy increased with age. In the age groups 50 - 59 years, 60 - 69 years and 70 -79 years, the RR's were 0.61 (95% CI, 0.25 - 1.50), 0.86 (95% CI, 0.60 - 1.25), and 1.10 (95% CI, 0.69 - 1.73). As regards the composite outcome of MI, coronary death, coronary revascularisation and angina, there was a significant reduction with use of estrogen in the 50 - 59 year age group (RR 0.66 [95% CI, 0.45 - 0.96]). There was no difference in composite outcomes in the 60 - 69 or 70 - 79 year age groups. A re-analysis of the NHS data looking at age again shows benefit with initiating HT early in menopause with no benefit in women starting HT at a later stage.35 Where HT was initiated near menopause, the RR of CHD for estrogen use alone was 0.66 (95% CI, 0.54 - 0.92) and for estrogen/progestin use was 0.72 (95% CI, 0.56 - 0.92). Where HT was initiated more than 10 years after menopause, the RR for estrogen and estrogen/progestin use were 0.87 (95% CI, 0.69 - 1.10) and 0.90 (95% CI, 0.62 - 1.29) respectively.

Nonhuman primate studies also support the window of opportunity hypothesis. Where CEE, with or without MPA, was started in cynomolgus monkeys immediately after oophorectomy, there was a reduction in the extent of plaque formation due to atherosclerosis. If the HT was delayed by 2 years, which is equivalent to 6 human years, there was no effect on plaque development.

The debate about the role of HT in the prevention of CVD in postmeno-pausal women will continue until there are prospective randomised controlled studies that address this issue in recently menopausal women. The Kronos Early Prevention Study (KEEPS) is one of these studies.<sup>37</sup> It may also shed light on the issue as to whether there is a difference between oral or transdermal estrogen as regards cardiovascular disease.

Until we have Level I evidence that HT protects menopausal women against CVD it should not be prescribed where this is the only indication.

The prevention of heart disease in women should, therefore, be based on proven interventions. At present there is no evidence for the efficacy of aspirin in the prevention of myocardial infarction or cardiovascular death in women. The Women's Health Study, a 10 year prospective study of 39,876 healthy women aged 45 years or older, showed the relative risk for major cardiovascular events with aspirin use to be 0.91 (95% CI, 0.80 - 1.03).<sup>38</sup> Ischaemic strokes were, however, significantly decreased (RR 0.76 [95% CI, 0.63 - 0.93]). A recent meta-analysis confirmed that statins



have proven benefit in decreasing CHD mortality in patients with coronary heart disease.<sup>39</sup> The issue as regards primary prevention in women is not as clear. In the same meta-analysis, although CHD events were decreased, there was no decrease in CHD or total mortality in patients without CHD. However, current guidelines for cardiovascular disease prevention emphasise the importance of achieving recommended lipoprotein goals.<sup>40</sup>

As discussed under risk factors for CVD in women, there is evidence for cardiovascular compromise caused by dyslipidaemias, hypertension, diabetes, obesity, the metabolic syndrome and smoking. Treatments and lifestyle interventions to address these problems should form an integral part of prevention programmes in menopausal women. Considering the morbidity and mortality of cardiovascular events, a

paradigm shift away from the hot flush discussion, PAP smear and breast examination focus of the "regular check" in menopausal women, towards a disease prevention strategy with focus on other risk factors such as those for cardiovascular disease, would have far reaching health benefits for women.

#### See CPD Questionnaire, page 41

PThis article has been peer reviewed

#### References:

- Bittner V. Perspectives on dyslipidemia and coronary heart disease in women. J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;46;1628-350.
- American Heart Association. Heart disease and stroke statistics: 2006 update. Available at: http://americanheart.org. Downloaded 05 December 2006.
- Gordon T, Kannel WB, Hjortland MC, McNamara PM. Menopause and coronary heart disease. The Framingham study. Ann Intern Med 1978;89(2):157-61.
- Stevenson JC, Crook D, Godsland IF. Influence of age and menopause on serum lipids and lipoproteins in healthy women. Atherosclerosis 1993;98:83-90.
- Lerner DJ, Kannel WB. Patterns of coronary heart disease morbidity and mortality in the sexes: a 26 year follow-up of the Framingham population. Am Heart J 1986;111:383-90.
- Hokanson JE, Austin MA. Plasma triglyceride level is a risk factor for cardiovascular disease independent of high-density lipoprotein level: a meta-analysis of population based prospective studies. J Cardiovasc Risk 1996:3:213-9.
- Lerner DJ, Kannel WB. Patterns of coronary heart disease mortality in the sexes: a 26-year follow-up of the Framingham population. Am Heart J 1986;111:383-90
- Wong ND, Thakral G, Franklin SS, et al. Preventing heart disease by controlling hypertension: impact of hypertensive subtype, stage, age and sex. Am Heart J 2003;145(5):888-95.
- Wong ND, Pio JR, Franklin SS, et al. Preventing coronary events by optimal control of blood pressure and lipids in patients with the metabolic syndrome. Am J Cardiol 2003;91:1421-6.
- Lewington S, Clarke R, Qizilbash N, et al. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-analysis of individual data for 1 million adults in 61 prospective studies. Lancet 2002;360:1903-13.
- Barrett-Connor E, Cohen BA, Wingard DL, Edelstein DL. Why is diabetes mellitus a stronger risk factor for ischemic heart disease in women than in men? The Rancho Bernado study. JAMA 1991;265:627-31.
- Hu G, Jousilahti P, Qiao Q, et al. Sex differences in cardiovascular and total mortality among diabetic and non diabetic individuals with or without history of myocardial infarction. Diabetologica

- 2005;48(5):856-61.
- Steiidell JC, Verschuren WM, van LeerEM, Kromhout D. Overweight, underweight, and mortality. A prospective study of 48,287 men and women. Arch Intern Med 1996;156990:958-63.
- 14. Murphy NF, MacIntyre K, Stewart S, et al. Longterm cardiovascular consequences of obesity: a 20 year follow-up of more than 15,000 middle-aged men and women (the Renfrew-Paisley study). Eur Heart J 2006:27(1)96-106.
- 15. Grundy SM, Cleeman MD, Daniels SR et al. Diagnosis and Management of Metabolic Syndrome. An American Heart Association/National Heart Lung, and Blood Institute Scientific Statement. Circulation published online Sep 12 2005. Available at www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/cholesterol/index.htm. Downloaded 17 December 2006.
- Park YW, Zhu S, Palaniappan L, et al.
   The metabolic syndrome: prevalence and associated risk factor findings in the US population from the Third National health and nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-1994. Arch Intern Med 2003; 163: 427-36.
- Wilson PW, Kannel WB, Silbershatz H, D'Agostino RB. Clustering of metabolic factors and coronary heart disease. Arch Intern Med 1999;159:1104-9.
- Diverse Populations Collaboration. Smoking, body weight, and CHD mortality in diverse populations. Prev Med 2004:38:834-40
- The Writing Group for the PEPI Trial. Effects of estrogen or estrogen/progestin regimens on heart disease risk factors in postmenopausal women. The Postmenopausal Estrogen/Progestin Interventions (PEPI) Trial. JAMA 1995;273: 199-208.
- Espeland MA, Marcovina SM, Miller V, et al. Effect of postmenopausal hormone therapy on lipoprotein(a) concentrations. PEPI investigators. Postmenopausal Estrogen/Progestin Interventions. Circulation 1998;97:979-86.
- Lakoski SG and Herrington DM. Effects of hormone therapy on C-reactive protein and IL-6 in postmenopausal women: a review article. Climacteric. 2005;8: 317-326.
- Lewandowski KC, Komorowski J, Mikhalides DP, et al. Effects of hormone replacement therapy type and route of administration on plasma matrix metalloproteinases and their tissue inhibitors in postmenopausal women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2006;91(8):3123-30.
- Grodstein F, Manson JE, Colditz GA, et al. A prospective, observational study of postmenopausal hormone therapy and primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. Ann Intern Med 2000;133:933-941.
- Barrett-Connor E, Laughlin GA. Hormone therapy and coronary artery calcification in asymptomatic postmenopausal women: the Rancho Bernado Study. Menopause 2005; 12(1):40-48.
- 25. Hulley S, Grady D, Bush T, et al. Randomized trial of estrogen plus progestin for secondary prevention of coronary heart disease in postmenopausal women. Heart and Estrogen/progestin

- Replacement Study. JAMA 1998;280: 605-13.
- Grady D, Herrington D, Bittner V, et al. Cardiovascular disease outcomes during 6.8 years of hormone therapy: Heart and Estrogen/progestin replacement Study follow-up [HERS II]. JAMA 2002;288(1):49-57.
- Herrington DM, Reboussin DM, Brosnihan KB, et al. Effects of estrogen replacement on the progression of coronary artery atherosclerosis. N Engl J Med 2000;343(8):522-90.
- Hodis HN, Mack WJ, Azen SP, et al. Hormone therapy and the progression of coronary artery atherosclerosis in postmenopausal women. N Engl J Med. 2003;349(6):535-45.
- Clarke SC, Kelleher J, Lloyd-Jones H, et al. A study of hormone replacement therapy in postmenopausal women with ischaemic heart disease: the Papworth HRT atherosclerosis study. BJOG.2002;109(9):1056-62.
- Writing Group for the Women's Health Initiative Investigators. Risks and benefits of estrogen plus progestin in healthy postmenopausal women: principal results from the Women's Health Initiative randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2002:288:321-33.
- 31. The Women's Health Initiative Study Group. Effects of conjugated equine estrogen in postmenopausal women with hysterectomy: The Women's Health Initiative randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2004;291:1701-12.
- Manson JE, Hsia J, Johnson KC, et al. Estrogen plus progestin and the risk of Coronary Heart Disease. N Engl J Med 2003;349(6):523-534.
- Manson JE, Bassuk SS, Harman SM, et al. Postmenopausal hormone therapy: new questions and the case for new clinical trials. Menopause 2006;13(1): 139-47.
- Hsia J, Langer RD, Manson JE, et al. Conjugated Equine Estrogens and coronary Heart Disease. Arch Intern Med 2006:166;351-61.
- Grodstein F, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, et al. Hormone therapy and coronary heart disease: the role of time since menopause and age at hormone initiation. Womens Health (Larchmt) 2006;15(1): 35-44
- 36. Mikkola TS, Clarkson TB. Estrogen replacement therapy, atherosclerosis, and vascular function. Cardiovasc Res 2002;53:605-619.
- 37. Harman SM, Brinton EA, Cedars M, *et al.* KEEPS: The Kronos Early Prevention Study. Climacteric 2005;8:3-12.
- Ridker PM, Cook NR, Lee IM, et al. A randomized trial of low-dose aspirin in the primary prevention of cardiovascuar disease in women. N Engl J Med 2005;352(13):1293-304.
- Walsh JME, Pignone M. Drug treatment of hyperlipidemia in women. JAMA 2004;291:2243-52.
- Mosca L, Appel LJ, Benjamin EL, et al. Evidence based guidelines for cardiovascular disease prevention in women. Arterioscl Thromb Vasc Biol 2004;24(3): e24-50.

# Short course on Palliative Care in Family Medicine

Stellenbosch University, Division of Family Medicine and Primary Care will be offering a 12-week short course on palliative care via the Internet from 20th August to 12th November 2007. The course is registered for CEU points.

The course will cover the principles of palliative care, common psychosocial issues and symptom management.

If you are interested in joining the course please contact Ms Hannille Griggs on 021 938 9061 or jagr@sun.ac.za

SA Fam Pract 2007:49(5) 35