Managing risk in statistics - "Relative Risk"

Durrheim DN, MBChB, MPH&TM, DrPH

Anton Breinl Centre, School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, James Cook University, 4811, Australia. Ogunbanjo GA, MBBS, MFGP (SA), M Fam Med, FACRRM, FACTM Department of Family Medicine and Primary Health Care, Medunsa, South Africa.

> Correspondence: Prof GA Ogunbangjo, Email: gboyega@interkom.co.za Keywords: Relative risk, exposed, unexposed, primary, secondary cases

Introduction

To determine how a disease is being transmitted, identify high-risk groups in the community requiring special prevention measures and to elucidate the most important factors contributing to disease causation, it is essential to move beyond counts of disease occurrence. As discussed in a previous article in this series, it is necessary to transform these tallies into incidence rates (or risk), that is, divide the number of new occurrences of disease by the population at risk in that geographical location during the specific time-period of interest. However, to determine a particular risk-factor's (or "exposure's" in statistical jargon) importance, one needs to go one step further. That crucial step is to compare the risk of disease occurrence in those people "exposed" to the particular risk factor of interest with the risk of disease occurrence in those people "not exposed" to that factor. This can be done either by dividing the risk in those exposed with the risk in those unexposed to that factor i.e. relative risk, or subtracting the risk in those unexposed from the risk in those exposed i.e. attributable risk. We will focus on relative risk in this article and attributable risk in a subsequent article. (SA Fam Pract 2003;45(8):44-45)

RELATIVE RISK

This is the ratio of the risk in the exposed group to the risk in the unexposed group. If the risk in the exposed and unexposed groups is the same, then, by division, it is obvious that the relative risk is 1. On the other hand, if the risk is greater in the exposed group, then the ratio will be greater than 1 and obviously harmful, while if the ratio is smaller than 1, it is most probably a beneficial factor in the exposed group.

Monkeypox, and smallpox, are both currently very topical and so we will use a monkeypox example to illustrate the concept of relative risk. In an outbreak of monkeypox in Zaire (now the Democratic Republic of Congo), 147 people contracted the monkeypox virus from monkeys.1 In an effort to determine how likely monkeypox was to be transmitted from infected people to their contacts, information on monkeypox cases that had no contact with monkeys but developed disease following contact with primary human cases (secondary cases), was collected. Forty-seven (47)

secondary cases resulted. All alert readers will not be satisfied with these counts of cases; you will want to know how many people were exposed through contact with monkeypox patients while they were infectious. Careful investigation determined that primary cases had contact with 739 people outside their homes and 834 in their homes while infectious, resulting in a total of 1573 cases. Thus the risk in people exposed to infectious patients was (47/ 1573)*100 = 2.99 secondary cases per 100 people exposed. Most secondary cases (n=36) were family members or other individuals living in the same house as the primary cases (11 nonhousehold secondary cases (47 - 36), and so it appeared that *close contact* was an important risk factor. To quantify this risk, investigators needed to determine the risk in household contacts and compare it with the risk in all other contacts of primary cases (non-household contacts). This they did as follows:

- **Risk in household contacts:** (36/834)*100 = 4.32 secondary monkeypox cases per 100 exposed. .
- **Risk in non-household contacts:**

(11/739)*100 = 1.49 secondary monkeypox cases per 100 exposed.

The "relative risk" is calculated by dividing the risk in those exposed to the risk-factor under consideration (household contact) by the risk in those not exposed to that risk-factor i.e. 4.32/1.49 = 2.9. What does this mean in clinical practice? The risk of developing monkeypox in people exposed to primary cases in the household is 2.9 times greater than amongst those exposed outside the household. It is possible to fit confidence intervals to the relative risk and this will be tackled in a future article in this series. But what is clear is that household members are at considerably greater risk.

As indicated earlier relative risk determination can also guide targeting of prevention measures to those community members at greater risk. A good example of this is found in South Africa where resources available for malaria control in Mpumalanga were reduced and so it was decided that indoor spraying with residual insecticide should be targeted to highest risk

communities. The availability of a Malaria Geographical Information System, which relates each malaria case to the specific community where transmission occurred, proved valuable in stratifying malaria risk. The malaria control programme found that the malaria risk rose sharply towards the Mozambican border. When the malaria risk within 5 km of the border was compared with the risk in the remainder of the malaria-risk area, a relative risk of 4.12 (95% Confidence Interval = 3.88-4.46) was calculated.² This

finding allowed development of a targeted approach to control with routine spraying restricted to settlements within 5 km of the border.

In conclusion, *relative risk* is an estimate of the ratio of the risk in the exposed group to the risk in the unexposed group, and it allows the clinician to determine how a disease is being transmitted, identify high-risk groups in the community requiring special prevention measures and identify the most important factors contributing to disease causation. \Box

References

- 1. Fine PEM, Jezek Z, Grab B, Dixon H. The transmission potential of monkeypox virus in human populations. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 1988; 17:643-650.
- Booman M, Durrheim DN, la Grange JJP, Martin C, Mabuza AM, Zitha A, Mbokazi FM, Fraser C, Sharp BL. Using a geographical information system to plan a malaria control programme in South Africa. *Bulletin* of the World Health Organization 2000; 78: 1438-1444.

Product News

Affordable Loratadine from Pharma Dynamics

Pharma Dynamics is pleased to offer allergy sufferers yet another affordable option for the symptomatic relief of seasonal allergic rhinitis and chronic urticaria.

Containing 10 mg loratadine in each tablet, Pollentyme is a second-generation ("non-sedating") antihistamine that is proven to bring rapid, long-lasting relief in addition to welcome cost savings for allergy sufferers.

The launch of Pollentyme tablets could not be better timed to meet the brunt of the hay fever season.

Pollentyme tablets are available in blister packs of 7's and 30's.

Please contact Pharma Dynamics for more information: Tel: (021) 701 6080. Fax: (021) 701 5898. www.pharmadynamics.co.za

Pollentyme tablets. Each tablet contains 10 mg loratadine (micronised). Reg. No. 34/5.7.1/0507.



Simvastatin generic from Pharma Dynamics

Pharma Dynamics is pleased to announce the launch of Simvacor 10 mg and Simvacor 20 mg.

Simvacor offers an affordable choice of statin therapy that is shown to treat the majority of your hypercholesterolaemic patients.

The results of the 4S Study indicate that simvastatin is not only effective in lowering cholesterol, but also in reducing risks such as mortality due to CHD and myocardial infarction. Simvastatin is proven to*:

- Reduce total cholesterol by 25%
- Reduce LDL-cholesterol by 35%
- Increase HDL-cholesterol by 8%
- Reduce the risk of death due to Coronary Heart Disease by 42%
- Reduce the incidence of hospital-verified non-fatal myocardial infarction by 37%
- Reduce the risk for undergoing myocardial revascularization procedures
- Slow the progression of coronary atherosclerosis

Prescribers can be assured of Pharma Dynamics' vision to provide effective, affordable healthcare to more South Africans. In line with this vision are the company's stringent standards of quality, backed by bio-equivalence studies.

Simvacor is marketed in 10 mg and 20 mg strengths, blister packed in cartons of 28 tablets.

Contact Pharma Dynamics for more details. Tel: (021) 701 6080, Fax: (021) 701 5898 www.pharmadynamics.co.za

* Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study. Randomised trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with Coronary Heart Disease: The Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S). Lancet 1994; Vol. 344: 1383 – 1389.